

## THE DIAMETRICAL DIMENSION OF THE SPACES OF WHITNEY JETS ON SEQUENCES OF POINTS

A. P. Goncharov and M. Zeki

UDC 517.98

**Abstract:** We calculate the diametral dimension of the spaces of Whitney jets on convergent sequences of points.

**Keywords:** diametral dimension, Whitney function

### 1. Introduction

We consider the linear topological structure of the spaces of traces of  $C^\infty$ -functions on convergent sequences of points. We calculate the diametral dimension of these spaces and present a continuum of pairwise nonisomorphic spaces in the case of the so-called sparse sequences. The diametral dimension of the spaces of Whitney jets defined on thick sequences (under some condition of regularity) is the same as for the space  $s$  of rapidly decreasing sequences.

Our interest to the spaces of Whitney jets on compact sets of such a kind has arisen because of the following reasons: On the one hand, there is still no concrete example of a nuclear Fréchet function space without topological basis. The space of real analytic functions has no basis as it was proved in [1], but this space is not metrizable. The method to construct a basis for the space of Whitney functions on a convergent sequence of intervals [2] or on a sharp cusp [3] cannot be applied in our case. On the other hand, the problem of primariness is open for the spaces under examination. (The space  $X$  is primary if whenever  $X = Y \oplus Z$  then either  $Y$  or  $Z$  is isomorphic to  $X$ .) Our spaces in a sense occupy an intermediate place between the nonprimary nuclear Fréchet spaces with continuous norm (see [4]) and the prime space  $\omega = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

It should be noted that diametral dimension cannot be applied to distinguishing the spaces of Whitney jets on compact sets with nonempty interior. In fact, these spaces contain a subspace that is isomorphic to  $s$ , and so their diametral dimension is not larger than the diametral dimension of  $s$  [5, Proposition 7]. However, Mityagin showed [5] that the space  $s$  has minimal diametral dimension in the class of nuclear Fréchet spaces.

Calculation of the diametral dimension of the spaces of Whitney functions on Cantor-type sets is given in [6].

### 2. Preliminaries

Given a compact subset  $K$  of the real axis, let  $\mathcal{E}(K)$  denote the space of all sequences  $(f^{(j)}(x))_{j=0}^\infty$ ,  $x \in K$ , such that there exists an extension  $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $F^{(j)}(x) = f^{(j)}(x)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $x \in K$ . The space  $\mathcal{E}(K)$  can be identified with the quotient space  $C^\infty(I)/Z$  where  $I$  is an interval containing  $K$  (let  $I = [0, 1]$ ) and  $Z = \{F \in C^\infty(I) : F^{(j)}|_K \equiv 0, j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . By the Whitney Theorem [7] the quotient topology can be given by the seminorms

$$\|f\|_p = |f|_p + \sup\{|(R_y^p f)^{(i)}(x)| \cdot |x - y|^{i-p} : x, y \in K, x \neq y, 0 \leq i \leq p\},$$

where  $|f|_p = \sup\{|f^{(i)}(x)| : x \in K, 0 \leq i \leq p\}$  and  $R_y^p f(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^p f^{(k)}(y) \frac{(x-y)^k}{k!}$  is the  $p$ th Taylor remainder,  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Put  $U_p = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(K) : \|f\|_p \leq 1\}$ .

A Fréchet space  $X$  is said to have a continuous norm, if one of the seminorms of  $X$  is a norm. Similarly,  $X$  has no continuous norm if its every neighborhood contains a straight line.

For each sequence  $(b_k)_0^\infty$  there exists a function  $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $F^{(k)}(0) = b_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (the Borel problem). Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(\{a\})$  is isomorphic to  $\omega$  for every singleton  $\{a\}$ .

A compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is  $C^\infty$ -determining if for every  $C^\infty$ -extendable function  $f$  on  $K$  with  $f|_K = 0$  we have  $f^{(j)}|_K = 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ .

In the one-dimensional case we trivially obtain

**Proposition 1.** *For a compact set  $K$  on the real axis the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $K$  is perfect,
- (ii)  $K$  is  $C^\infty$ -determining,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{E}(K)$  has a continuous norm,
- (iv)  $\mathcal{E}(K)$  has no complemented subspace isomorphic to  $\omega$ .

We restrict exposition to the following model case of compact sets

$$K = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{a_n\} \text{ with } a_n \searrow 0.$$

The  $n$ th Kolmogorov width of  $U_q$  with respect to  $U_p$  (see [8]) can be given as

$$d_n(U_q, U_p) = \inf_{L \in \mathcal{L}_n} \inf \{ \delta : U_q \subset \delta U_p + L \}$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $n$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{E}(K)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The diametral dimension of  $X = \mathcal{E}(K)$  is defined as follows (see [9] and [5]):

$$\Gamma(X) = \{ \gamma = (\gamma_n) : \forall p \exists q : \gamma_n \cdot d_n(U_q, U_p) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \}.$$

We consider the counting function corresponding to the diametral dimension

$$\beta(t) = \beta(t, U_p, U_q) := \min \{ \dim L : tU_q \subset U_p + L \}, \quad t > 0.$$

It can be showed that  $\beta(t) = |\{n : d_n(U_q, U_p) > \frac{1}{t}\}|$  where  $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of  $A$ .

Since  $\mathcal{E}(K)$  is a Schwartz space; therefore,  $\beta(t, U_p, U_q)$  takes finite values for values of  $p$  and  $q$  that are sufficiently apart. The following well-known propositions express the direct relation between  $\Gamma(X)$  and  $\beta(t)$ :

**Proposition 2.**  $(\gamma_n) \in \Gamma(X) \iff \forall p \exists q : \forall C \exists n_0 : \beta(C\gamma_n, U_p, U_q) \leq n, n \geq n_0$ .

**Proposition 3.** *If Fréchet spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are isomorphic then*

$$\forall p_1 \exists p \forall q \exists q_1, C : \beta^{(Y)}(t, V_{p_1}, V_{q_1}) \leq \beta^{(X)}(Ct, U_p, U_q), \quad t > 0,$$

and vice versa.

Here  $(U_p)_{p=1}^\infty$  and  $(V_p)_{p=1}^\infty$  are bases of neighborhoods of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively.

In regard to the lower bound for Kolmogorov's widths of locally convex spaces with continuous norm, we can use the following remark of Tikhomirov (see [10] or [5, Proposition 6]).

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $U$  be an absolutely convex set in a linear space  $X$  and let  $V$  be an arbitrary set in  $X$ . If  $\alpha U \cap L_{n+1} \subset V \cap L_{n+1}$  for some  $(n+1)$ -dimensional subspace  $L_{n+1}$  of  $X$  and for  $\alpha > 0$  then  $d_n(V, U) \geq \alpha$ .*

We have to adjust this proposition for spaces without a continuous norm. In fact, if  $X = \omega$  with  $\|x\|_p = \max_{k \leq p} |x_k|$ ,  $p < q < r$ , and  $L = \text{span}(e_k)_{k=r}^{r+n}$  then clearly  $U_p \cap L \subset U_q \cap L$ , but  $d_n(U_q, U_p) = 0$  for  $n \geq p$ .

This example is explained by impossibility of using the Riesz Theorem (e.g., see [11, p. 84]) in this case which is essential in the proof of Proposition 4.

Let  $X$  be a locally convex space. Suppose that  $U$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $X$ . Let  $Z_U = \{x \in X : \|x\|_U = 0\}$ . Here  $\|\cdot\|_U$  is the gauge functional of  $U$ . Let  $X_U$  be the completion of  $X/Z_U$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_U$ ,  $\pi_U : X \rightarrow X_U : x \rightarrow \{x + Z_U\}$ .

**Proposition 5.** Given a set  $V$ , if  $\alpha\pi_U(U) \cap M_{n+1} \subset \pi_U(V) \cap M_{n+1}$  for some  $(n+1)$ -dimensional subspace  $M_{n+1}$  of  $X_U$  and for  $\alpha > 0$  then  $d_n(V, U) \geq \alpha$ .

PROOF. Applying Proposition 4 yields  $d_n^{(X_U)}(\pi(V), \pi(U)) \geq \alpha$ . On the other hand, for every linear operator  $T$  we have  $d_n(TV, TU) \leq d_n(V, U)$  (e.g., see [5]), which completes the proof.

**Corollary 1.**  $\beta(t, U_p, U_q) \geq \sup\{\dim M : 2\pi_{U_p}(U_p) \cap M \subset t\pi_{U_p}(U_q)\}$  where the supremum is taken over all finite dimensional subspaces  $M$  of  $X_{U_p}$ .

In fact, let a subspace  $M$  with  $\dim M = n+1$  satisfy the inclusion of the hypothesis. Then  $d_n(U_q, U_p) \geq \frac{2}{t}$  and, since the sequence  $(d_n)$  is nondecreasing,

$$\beta(t, U_p, U_q) \geq \left| \left\{ k : d_k(U_q, U_p) \geq \frac{2}{t} \right\} \right| \geq |\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}| = \dim M.$$

The same argument can be repeated for every absolutely convex set  $U$  in a linear space  $X$  and for  $V$  in the linear span of  $U$ .

### 3. The Counting Function $\beta$ in the Case of Sparse Sequences

We say that a sequence  $(a_n)$  with  $a_n \searrow 0$  is *sparse* if there exists  $Q \geq 1$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$a_n - a_{n+1} \geq a_n^Q. \quad (1)$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $K = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{a_n\}$  be given by a sparse sequence with the corresponding constant  $Q$ . Then for the counting function corresponding to the diametral dimension of  $\mathcal{E}(K)$  and for  $q > p > 0$  with  $q - Qp > 0$ , we have

$$N_2 \leq \beta(t, U_p, U_q) \leq (q+1)N_1, \quad t \geq 4,$$

with  $N_1 = \min\{n : a_n^{q-Qp} \leq \frac{1}{8t}\}$  and  $N_2 = \max\{n : (a_k - a_{k+1})^{q-p} \geq \frac{8}{t} \forall k \leq n\}$ .

PROOF. From the definition of  $\beta$  we see that  $\beta(t) \leq \dim L$  for every subspace  $L$  such that  $tU_q \subset U_p + L$ . Let us choose a suitable subspace  $L$ . We consider the following functions:

$$H_j(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^j}{j!} & \text{if } x \in [0, a_{N_1}] \cap K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise on } K \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_{nj}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x-a_n)^j}{j!} & \text{if } x = a_n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise on } K \end{cases}$$

and put

$$L = \text{span}\{H_j \cup h_{nj} : n = 1, \dots, N_1 - 1; j = 0, \dots, q\}.$$

Then  $\dim L = N_1(q+1)$ .

Given  $f \in U_q$ , we take  $g \in L$  as follows:

$$g = \sum_{j=0}^q f^{(j)}(0)H_j + \sum_{k=1}^{N_1-1} \sum_{j=0}^q f^{(j)}(a_k)h_{kj}.$$

We want to show that  $\|f - g\|_p \leq \frac{1}{t}$ .

We see that  $|f - g|_p \leq \frac{1}{2t}$ . In fact, if  $x > a_{N_1}$  then  $f^{(i)}(x) = g^{(i)}(x)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$ . In case  $x \leq a_{N_1}$  we have  $f(x) - g(x) = R_0^q f(x)$  and  $|R_0^q f(x)|_p \leq \|f\|_q x^{q-p} \leq a_{N_1}^{q-p} \leq \frac{1}{2t}$  by the choice of  $N_1$ .

In order to estimate  $b_{ip} := |(R_y^p(f - g))^{(i)}(x)| \cdot |x - y|^{i-p}$  with  $x, y \in K$ ,  $x \neq y$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p$ , we will consider all possible positions of the points  $x, y$  on  $K$ .

If  $x, y > a_{N_1}$  then clearly  $b_{ip} = 0$ .

If  $x, y \leq a_{N_1}$  then  $(f - g)(x) = R_0^q f(x)$ . Here

$$R_y^p(R_0^q f)(x) = R_y^q(R_0^q f)(x) + \sum_{k=p+1}^q (R_0^q f)^{(k)}(y) \frac{(x-y)^k}{k!}.$$

The first term on the right-hand side is equal to  $R_y^q f(x)$ , as is easy to see. Therefore,

$$(R_y^p(f - g))^{(i)}(x) = (R_y^q f)^{(i)}(x) + \sum_{k=p+1}^q (R_0^q f)^{(k)}(y) \frac{(x-y)^{k-i}}{(k-i)!}$$

and

$$b_{ip} \leq \|f\|_q |x-y|^{q-p} + \|f\|_q \sum_{k=p+1}^q \frac{y^{q-k} |x-y|^{k-p}}{(k-i)!}.$$

Since  $f \in U_q$  and  $y^{q-k} |x-y|^{k-p} < a_{N_1}^{q-p}$ , we obtain

$$b_{ip} \leq a_{N_1}^{q-p} (1+e) \leq \frac{1}{2t}.$$

If  $y \leq a_{N_1} < x$  then  $f^{(i)}(x) - g^{(i)}(x) = 0$ ,  $f^{(k)}(y) - g^{(k)}(y) = (R_0^q f)^{(k)}(y)$  for  $k = i, i+1, \dots, p$ . Therefore,

$$R_y^p(f - g)(x) = - \sum_{k=0}^p (R_0^q f)^{(k)}(y) \frac{(x-y)^k}{k!}$$

and

$$b_{ip} \leq \|f\|_q \sum_{k=i}^p y^{q-k} \frac{(x-y)^{k-p}}{(k-i)!}.$$

Here  $x-y \geq a_{N_1}^Q$  by (1). Hence by the definition of  $N_1$  we have

$$b_{ip} \leq \sum_{k=i}^p \frac{a_{N_1}^{q-k+Q(k-p)}}{(k-i)!} \leq a_{N_1}^{q-Qp} e \leq \frac{1}{2t}.$$

The case  $x \leq a_{N_1} < y$  is similar.

Therefore,  $\|f - g\|_p \leq \frac{1}{t}$ ,  $U_q \subset \frac{1}{t}U_p + L$  and  $\beta(t, U_p, U_q) \leq (q+1)N_1$ .

For the lower bound of  $\beta$  we use Corollary 1. In our case  $X_{U_p}$  is the Banach space  $\mathcal{E}^p(K)$  of Whitney jets of order  $p$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . Put  $M = \text{span}\{\pi_{U_p}(h_{np}), n = 1, 2, \dots, N_2\}$ . We will show

$$2\pi_{U_p}(U_p) \cap M \subset t\pi_{U_p}(U_q). \quad (2)$$

Every element  $F$  on the left-hand side has the form  $F = \pi_{U_p}(f)$ , where all components of the jet  $f$  are zero except possibly  $f^{(p)}(a_k) = \alpha_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N_2$ . Since  $f \in 2U_p$ ; therefore,  $|\alpha_k| \leq 2$ . To prove (2) it suffices to show that  $\|f\|_q \leq t$ . Clearly,  $|f|_q \leq |\alpha_k| \leq \frac{t}{2}$ .

Let us estimate  $b_{iq} := |(R_y^q f)^{(i)}(x)| \cdot |x-y|^{i-q}$  with  $x \neq y; x, y \in K, i \leq q$ . All terms of  $(R_y^q f)^{(i)}(x)$  are zero, except possibly  $f^{(p)}(x)$ ,  $f^{(p)}(y)$  if  $x, y \geq a_{N_2}$ . If  $i = p$  then  $b_{pq} = |f^{(p)}(x) - f^{(p)}(y)| \cdot |x-y|^{p-q}$ . If  $i < p$  then  $b_{iq} = |f^{(p)}(y)| \frac{|x-y|^{p-i}}{(p-i)!} |x-y|^{i-q}$ .

In both cases  $b_{iq} \leq 2|f|_p |x-y|^{p-q} \leq 4|x-y|^{p-q}$ .

At least one value ( $x$  or  $y$ ) is not smaller than  $a_{N_2}$  since otherwise the Taylor remainder vanishes.

Therefore,  $|x-y| \geq \min_{k \leq N_2} (a_k - a_{k+1}) \geq (\frac{8}{t})^{\frac{1}{q-p}}$  by the definition of  $N_2$ . This gives  $b_{iq} \leq \frac{t}{2}$  and (2). Thus  $\beta(t) \geq \dim M = N_2$ .

#### 4. A Geometric Condition

Our next goal is to give a necessary condition for the isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}(K_a) \simeq \mathcal{E}(K_b)$  in terms of the properties of the sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$ . Let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sparse sequence such that  $a_n = \varphi(n)$  for a differentiable monotone function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, 1]$ . To simplify the evaluation of  $N_2$  we suppose that  $\varphi$  is convex. We denote the function inverse to  $\varphi$  by  $\Phi$  and let  $\Phi_1$  stand for the inverse to  $-\varphi'$ . Let the functions  $\psi$ ,  $\Psi = \psi_{-1}$ , and  $\Psi_1 = (-\psi')_{-1}$  correspond to a sparse sequence  $(b_n)$ . We say that the sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are equivalent if, for each  $q$ , we can find  $\varepsilon$ ,  $C$ , and  $x_0$  such that for  $x > x_0$

$$-\psi'(2qx) \leq C\varphi^\varepsilon(x) \quad (3)$$

and the analogous condition holds on interchanging  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ .

We write  $\beta_a$  and  $\beta_b$  for the counting functions corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}(K_a)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(K_b)$ .

Given  $p < q$  and large  $t$ , put  $\rho = (\frac{8}{t})^{\frac{1}{q-p}}$ . By the definition of  $N_2$  we see  $a_{N_2+1} - a_{N_2+2} < \rho \leq a_{N_2} - a_{N_2+1}$ . By the Mean Value Theorem,  $-\varphi'(\xi) < \rho$  with  $N_2 + 1 < \xi < N_2 + 2$ . Therefore,  $-\varphi'(N_2 + 2) < \rho$  and  $N_2 + 2 > \Phi_1(\rho)$ . Theorem 1 shows now that  $\Phi_1(\rho) - 2 < \beta_a(t, U_p, U_q)$ . In the same manner for  $\rho_1 = (8t)^{-\frac{1}{q-Qp}}$  we find that

$$\beta_a(t, U_p, U_q) < (q+1)[\Phi(\rho_1) + 1]. \quad (4)$$

Applying Proposition 3, we deduce that the isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}(K_a) \simeq \mathcal{E}(K_b)$  implies

$$\forall p_1 \exists p \forall q \exists q_1 \exists C, t_0 : \Psi_1((8/t)^{\frac{1}{q_1-p_1}}) < (q+1)\Phi((Ct)^{\frac{-1}{q-Qp}}) + q + 3, \quad t > t_0.$$

The right-hand side of the inequality can be replaced by  $2q\Phi(\cdot)$  as  $\Phi \uparrow \infty$  when its argument goes to 0. We now denote  $\frac{1}{2q}\Psi_1((8/t)^{\frac{1}{q_1-p_1}})$  by  $x$ .

Then  $8/t = (-\psi'(2qx))^{q_1-p_1}$  and  $x < \Phi(\frac{1}{C}(-\psi'(2qx))^M)$  with  $M = \frac{q_1-p_1}{q-Qp}$  and some constant  $C$ . This clearly implies (3).

We have thus proved the following necessary geometric condition of isomorphism:

**Theorem 2.** *If the spaces  $\mathcal{E}(K_a)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(K_b)$  are isomorphic then the sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are equivalent.*

**Question.** Is the equivalence of sparse sequences, provided all regularity properties, a sufficient condition for isomorphism between the corresponding spaces as well?

#### 5. Examples of Nonisomorphic Spaces

We may now apply Proposition 2 in order to describe the diametral dimension  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(K_a))$  for the compact set  $K_a$  satisfying all conditions of the previous section.

**Proposition 6.**  $\{(\gamma_n) : \forall p \exists q : \gamma_n \varphi^{q-Qp}(\frac{n}{2q}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \uparrow \infty\} \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{E}(K_a)) \subset \{(\gamma_n) : \forall p \exists q : \gamma_n (-\varphi'(n+2))^{q-p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \uparrow \infty\}$ .

Let us prove the first inclusion since the arguments are the same for the latter. If  $\forall p \exists q : \forall C \exists n_0 : C\gamma_n \varphi^{q-Qp}(\frac{n}{2q}) < 1$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ , then  $\frac{n}{2q} > \Phi((C\gamma_n)^{-\frac{1}{q-Qp}})$  and  $n > (q+1)[\Phi((C\gamma_n)^{-\frac{1}{q-Qp}}) + 1] > \beta(\frac{C\gamma_n}{8}, U_p, U_q)$ , by (4). Therefore, by Proposition 2,  $(\gamma_n) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}(K_a))$ .

The condition (1) has the form

$$\exists Q \geq 1, t_0 : \varphi^Q(t) \leq -\varphi'(t), \quad t > t_0.$$

If, in addition, the function  $\varphi$  satisfies the following restriction

$$\exists C \geq 1, t_1 : \varphi^C(t) \leq \varphi(2t), \quad t > t_1;$$

then, as it is easy to check,

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(K_a)) = \{(\gamma_n) : \exists M : \gamma_n \cdot \varphi^M(n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \uparrow \infty\}.$$

Therefore, the space of Whitney jets on the sequence  $(n^{-1})$  has the same diametral dimension as the space  $s$  of rapidly decreasing sequences, whereas in the case  $a_n = e^{-n}$  we obtain the class  $\Gamma$  likewise in the case of the space of entire functions.

We can now present the example of continuum-many pairwise nonisomorphic spaces  $\mathcal{E}(K_{a_\lambda})$ . The family of functions  $\varphi_\lambda(t) = \exp(-ln^\lambda(t))$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , with the parameter  $\lambda \geq 1$  (cp. [12]) gives the desired example. Indeed, the corresponding sequence is sparse, the function  $\varphi_\lambda$  satisfies all required conditions, and the classes  $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}(K_{a_\lambda}))$  are different for distinct values of the parameter.

## 6. The Case of Thick Sequences

From the family of nonsparse sequences we distinguish the sequences (we call them *thick*) such that for every  $Q$  and large enough  $n$  we have

$$a_n - a_{n+1} \leq a_n^Q.$$

We assume the additional condition

$$\exists M, n_1 : a_n - a_{n+1} > 1/n^M \text{ for } n > n_1 \quad (5)$$

which is satisfied for typical thick sequences.

Given Fréchet spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we say that the functions  $\beta^{(X)}$  and  $\beta^{(Y)}$  have the same asymptotic behavior ( $\beta^{(X)} \sim \beta^{(Y)}$ ) if we can estimate one function by the other with the appropriate arrangement of the quantifiers as in Proposition 3.

**Theorem 3.** *Let a thick convex sequence  $a = (a_n)$  satisfy (5) and  $X = \mathcal{E}(K_a)$ . Then  $\beta^{(X)} \sim \beta^{(s)}$ .*

PROOF. For the space  $s$  we have  $d_n(U_q, U_p) = (n+1)^{p-q}$  (e.g., see [13, Lemma 2]) and  $\beta^{(s)}(t, V_p, V_q) \sim t^{\frac{1}{q-p}}$ . Since  $\beta^{(s)}$  is maximal among all nuclear Fréchet spaces, we naturally obtain the upper bound for  $\beta^{(X)}$ :

$$\forall p \forall \varepsilon \exists q \exists C : \beta^{(X)}(t, U_p, U_q) < C \cdot t^\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, arguing as in Theorem 1, we infer the bound

$$\beta^{(X)}(t, U_p, U_q) \geq N_2$$

with the same value  $N_2$  as above. By convexity of the sequence  $(a_n)$  we have  $a_{N_2+1} - a_{N_2+2} < \left(\frac{8}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-p}}$ . Applying (5) gives

$$a_{N_2+1} - a_{N_2+2} > (2N_2)^{-M}.$$

Therefore,

$$N_2 > Ct^{\frac{1}{M(q-p)}}$$

for some constant  $C$ , which implies the desired conclusion.

The condition (5) does not follow from the definition of a thick sequence. For example, we can recurrently construct the sequence of subscripts  $(n_k)$  such that  $a_{n_k} = \frac{1}{\log n_k}$  and  $a_n - a_{n+1} = n_k^{-k}$  for  $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$ . We guess that the behavior of  $\beta$  for the corresponding space  $\mathcal{E}(K_a)$  is highly irregular.

## References

1. *Domanski P. and Vogt D.*, “The space of real-analytic functions has no basis,” *Studia Math.*, **142**, No. 2, 187–200 (2000).
2. *Goncharov A. P.*, “Spaces of Whitney functions with basis,” *Math. Nachr.*, **220**, 45–57 (2000).
3. *Goncharov A. P. and Zahariuta V. P.*, “Basis in the space of  $C^\infty$ -functions on a graduated sharp cusp,” *J. Geom. Anal.*, **13**, No. 1, 95–106 (2003).
4. *Diaz J. C.*, “On non-primary Fréchet Schwartz spaces,” *Studia Math.*, **126**, No. 3, 291–307 (1998).
5. *Mityagin B. S.*, “Approximative dimension and bases for nuclear spaces,” *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **16**, No. 4, 63–132 (1961).
6. *Arslan B., Goncharov A., and Kocatepe M.*, “Spaces of Whitney functions on Cantor-type sets,” *Canad. J. Math.*, **54**, 225–238 (2002).
7. *Whitney H.*, “Differentiable functions defined in closed sets,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36**, 369–387 (1934).
8. *Kolmogorov A. N.*, “On linear dimension of topological vector spaces,” *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **120**, No. 2, 239–241 (1958).
9. *Bessaga C., Pelczynski A., and Rolewicz S.*, “On diametral approximative dimension and linear homogeneity of  $F$ -spaces,” *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, **9**, 667–683 (1961).
10. *Tikhomirov V. M.*, “On the  $n$ -dimensional widths of compact sets,” *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **130**, No. 4, 734–737 (1960).
11. *Yosida K.*, *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1978).
12. *Goncharov A. and Kocatepe M.*, “A continuum of pairwise non-isomorphic spaces of Whitney functions on Cantor-type sets,” in: *Linear Topological Spaces and Complex Analysis, 1997*, **3**, pp. 57–64.
13. *Dragilev M. M.*, “On regular bases for nuclear spaces,” *Mat. Sb.*, **68**, No. 2, 153–173 (1965).